

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
01/16/2004	Huilong Zhu	FIS920030237	1839
08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
DS LLP		NGUYEN, DAO H ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
VD.			
MCLEAN, VA 22102-4215		2818	
	01/16/2004 08/24/2005 DDS LLP VD.	01/16/2004 Huilong Zhu 08/24/2005 DDS LLP VD.	01/16/2004 Huilong Zhu FIS920030237 08/24/2005 EXAM DDS LLP VD. ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, ,
Office Action Summary	10/707,840	ZHU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dao H. Nguyen	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status	·		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 January 2004.		
•—	This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur			ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		· · ·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific s	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.13	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority docu 2 Certified copies of the priority docu 3 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	•
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/707,840

Art Unit: 2818

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 Group I: Claims 16-22, drawn to semiconductor device(s), classified in class 257, subclass 19.

Group II: Claims 1-15, and 23-28 drawn to method(s) of manufacturing semiconductor device(s), classified in class 438, and subclass 48.

- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The
 inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as
 claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the
 product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP §
 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the group I invention would not
 necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I
 invention could be made by other and materially different processes from those of the
 group II invention.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,840 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

4. A telephone call was made to Mr.Andrew M. Calderon on 08/03/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). In addition, Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Conclusion

- 6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 (one) month and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Dao Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1791. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/707,840

Art Unit: 2818

Page 4

by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax numbers for all communication(s) is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1625.

David Netms

Supervisory Patern Examiner Technology Center 2:400

Dao H. Nguyen Art Unit 2818

August 20, 2005